



WARRIOR JUSTICE: Vignette One



FACTS:

A male PFC and a female PV2 went out for dinner and drinks one evening. At the end of the night, they shared a cab back to post. While in the cab, the male repeatedly asked the female to perform oral sex on him. After telling him no several times, the female finally conceded and performed oral sex on him in the cab. Later, he took her to the barracks and they proceeded to engage in sexual intercourse. At one point, the female said 'stop', but the male kept going and didn't stop until she had repeated herself several times.

RECOMMENDED DISCUSSION QUESTIONS:

- What are your initial thoughts on what happened in this case?
- Do you think going out to dinner with someone creates “expectations” of what will happen later in the evening? Why or why not?
- What options could the female have taken after these incidents occurred?
- What effects can sex offender registration have on someone's life?
- What are your thoughts on the PFC's punishment?

WARRIOR JUSTICE:

The PFC was found guilty of sexual assault at a Special Court-Martial. The PFC was sentenced to 12 months confinement, reduction to PVT (E1), and a Bad Conduct Discharge.



WARRIOR JUSTICE: Vignette Two



FACTS:

A male SGT was at the fitness center with two subordinates when he decided to 'cup check' them by hitting them in their clothed testicular areas. Later, one of the victims reported the incident to their first line supervisor and the supervisor did not inform anyone of the incident. Eventually, word of the incident reached command channels and a CID investigation ensued.

RECOMMENDED DISCUSSION QUESTIONS:

- Do your Soldiers know the boundaries between horseplay and criminal misconduct?
- What is your viewpoint regarding male on male sexual assault and similar crimes?
- What would happen to a leader/commander who chalked this type of misconduct up as 'horseplay' and decided not to report the incident?
- What should we do when we receive a report of sexual assault?
- What are some ways an observer or even the first victim could have intervened before the second Soldier became a victim?

WARRIOR JUSTICE:

The SGT was given a field grade article 15, and reduced to SPC.



WARRIOR JUSTICE: Vignette Three



FACTS:

A male 1SG and one of his male Platoon Sergeants were reviewing performance evaluations in the 1SG's office. During the review, it became apparent that the Platoon Leader, a female Lieutenant, would need to sign one of the evaluations under review. The Platoon Sergeant removed his phone from his pocket and began to call his Platoon Leader. Before the Platoon Sergeant finished dialing, the 1SG told the Platoon Sergeant "be sure to tell that Lieutenant to wear something sexy when she comes to sign this NCOER." Unsure of what to do, the Platoon Sergeant informed his Platoon Leader of what the 1SG said to him.

RECOMMENDED DISCUSSION QUESTIONS:

- What are your thoughts on this situation?
- Do you think the Platoon Sergeant did the appropriate thing by reporting the comment?
- Are there any facts mentioned in this vignette that would make it more or less difficult for the Platoon Sergeant to report the inappropriate comment?
- Would it matter to your response if the 1SG was trying to make a joke and did not really intend for the Lieutenant to wear "something sexy?"
- Does it matter that the comments were made only between two NCOs, and not in front of junior enlisted Soldiers?

WARRIOR JUSTICE:

After a formal investigation, it was determined that the 1SG's behavior constituted sexual harassment. The 1SG was given a Relief for Cause NCOER and a permanently filed administrative reprimand.